



Date: September 9, 2016

## **AMBIENT MONITORING REPORT**

1. Study highligh					
Study Numb					
• Title: Sur	face Water Monitoring	for Pesticides in Ag	gricultural Areas	s of Northern	n California
• Author Ap	ril R. DaSilva				
Cou • Study	nty: Del Norte, Mode	oc, Siskiyou			
area: Wat	erbody/ ershed:	and Klamath River	Watersheds		
Land Use Ty	rpe: 🛛 Ag	□ Urban 🗵	Forested	□ Mixed	□ Other
• Water	☐ Storm drain outfall	⊠ Creek	□ Rive	r 🗆 Po	ond   Lake
body type:	☑ Drainage ditch	☑ Other: Irrigation	on district canal		
Objectives:	1. Prioritize pesticide a level; 2. Determine the ingredients in surface chemistry data to evaluate	e presence and conc waters in the Smith	entrations of pr River and Klan	ioritized pes nath River w	ticide active
Sampling per	riod: May 2016 – July 2	016			
Pesticides m	onitored:				
Dimethoate, Malathion, M	ine, ACET, Benfluralin, Disulfoton, Diuron, Eth ICPA, Methidathion, M in, Phorate, Prodiamine,	alfluralin, Ethopropethyl Parathion, Mo	o, Fenamiphos, l etribuzin, Norflu	Hexazinone, urazon, Oryz	Imidacloprid, calin, Oxyfluorfen,
ingredients (insecticides of (64%) and diaquatic bencharks). There winsecticides, benchmark v	es collected from Smith A.I.s), at eight agricultu	ral field sites in Ma ticular areas. Of the xceeded their lowes y, higher concentrat han dicamba. Both y other herbicides i ected was imidaclop cloprid detections w	y and July of 20 e herbicides, the st U.S. Environrations were measured were most frequent water collected orid (22%); conducted were in the Smith	most freque mental Protectured in July equently detected defrom any exceptrations defined in River Water	cluded herbicides and ently detected were 2,4-D ection Agency (USEPA) compared to May with ted among all Tulelake of the sites. Of the id not exceed its

## 2. Pesticide detection frequency

Table 1. Pesticides detected in water. Complete data set in Appendix.

Pesticide	Number of samples	Number of detections	Reporting Limit (µg/L)	Detection frequency (%)	Lowest benchma (µg/	rk (BM)	Number of BM exceedances	BM exceedance frequency (%)
2,4-D	14	9	0.05	64	13.1	VA	0	0
Atrazine	13	0	0.05	0	0.001	VA	0	0
ACET	13	0	0.05	0	NA		0	0
Benfluralin	11	0	0.05	0	1.9	FC	0	0
Bromacil	13	0	0.05	0	6.8	NVA	0	0
Chlorpyrifos	6	0	0.01	0	0.04	IC	0	0
DACT	13	0	0.05	0	NA		0	0
DEA	13	0	0.05	0	NA		0	0
Dicamba	14	5	0.05	36	61	NVA	0	0
Dichlorvos (DDVP)	6	0	0.05	0	0.0058	IC	0	0
Dimethoate	6	0	0.04	0	0.5	IC	0	0
Disulfoton	6	0	0.04	0	0.01	IC	0	0
Diuron	13	0	0.05	0	2.4	NVA	0	0
Ethalfluralin	11	0	0.05	0	0.4	FC	0	0
Ethoprop	6	0	0.05	0	0.8	IC	0	0
Fenamiphos	6	0	0.05	0	0.12	IC	0	0
Hexazinone	13	0	0.05	0	7	NVA	0	0
Imidacloprid	9	2	0.05	22	1.05	IC	0	0
Malathion	6	0	0.02	0	0.035	IC	0	0
MCPA	14	0	0.05	0	170	VA	0	0
Methidathion	6	0	0.05	0	0.66	IC	0	0
Methyl Parathion	6	0	0.03	0	0.25	IC	0	0
Metribuzin	13	0	0.05	0	8.7	NVA	0	0
Norflurazon	13	0	0.05	0	9.7	NVA	0	0
Oryzalin	11	0	0.05	0	15.4	VA	0	0
Oxyfluorfen	11	0	0.05	0	0.33	VA	0	0
Pendimethalin	11	0	0.05	0	5.2	NVA	0	0
Phorate	6	0	0.05	0	0.21	IC	0	0
Prodiamine	11	0	0.05	0	1.5	IC	0	0
Prometon	13	0	0.05	0	98	NVA	0	0
Prometryn	13	0	0.05	0	1.04	NVA	0	0
Simazine	13	0	0.05	0	2.24	NVA	0	0
Triclopyr	14	0	0.05	0	4100	NVA	0	0
Trifluralin	11	0	0.05	0	1.14	FC	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>FA, fish acute; FC, fish chronic; IA, invertebrate acute; IC, invertebrate chronic; NVA, non-vascular acute; VA, vascular acute; NA, benchmark not available

## 3. Laboratory QC summary

	Water	Samples	Sediment Samples		
QC Type	Total Number	Number of QC out of contro1	Total Number	Number of QC out of control	
Lab Blanks	9	0	NA	NA	
Matrix Spikes/Duplicates	9	0	NA	NA	
Laboratory Control Spikes/Duplicates	0	0	NA	NA	
Blind Spikes	5	0	NA	NA	
Surrogate Spikes	13	0	NA	NA	
Other QC: Describe	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Other QC: Describe	NA	NA	NA	NA	

Explain out of control QC and interpretation of data:

DEA - out of control range but within on-going control range (63.9%-119%); All QC recoveries were acceptable.

## 4. Supporting Information

Index of Supporting Information

Appendix I. Study protocol

Appendix II. Sampling site information and pictures

Appendix III. Water quality data

Appendix IV. Water monitoring data

Appendix V. Analytical methods