Revision to Pesticide Product Evaluation for Impacts to Surface Waters

- Improved Urban Evaluation

Yuzhou Luo

Department of Pesticide Regulation

SWPP model for pesticide registration evaluation

- DPR Surface Water Protection Program (SWPP) developed a more consistent, transparent, and faster method for evaluating new AI registration packages
- Mainly designed for agricultural uses by generally following USEPA approaches for registration evaluation
- Improvements under development, including urban pesticide uses

Urban vs. Ag. (10-ha examples)

Residential (10ha)

Commercial/industrial (10ha)

Agricultural (10ha)

10 ha: USEPA recommended size of drainage area for registration evaluation of agricultural uses. It's also applied in USEPA risk assessment of urban uses

Registration evaluation for urban pesticide uses: Background

- No data: half-life, adsorption coefficients, etc., *on impervious surface* are not available
- No model: urban uses are not modeled by USEPA for registration evaluation
- For post-use assessment, USEPA developed a modeling scenario for *impervious surface*
  - Mainly for incidental application (overspray) of lawn treatment to adjacent concrete surfaces
  - Included in the previous SWPP model

USEPA approach

- The model ("PRZM/EXAMS") with the modeling scenario ("San Francisco impervious surface") is "*the most suitable available modeling approach for impervious runoff*" (USEPA EFED, 2013)

USEPA approach

- The model ("PRZM/EXAMS") with the modeling scenario ("San Francisco impervious surface")
- Known limitations on landscape description and simulation design, e.g.,
  - Nationwide suburban data: ¼ acre house lot
  - Buildings all surrounded by lawns
  - Optimized lawn irrigation by soil moisture
  - No dry-weather runoff (urban drool) from paved areas
  - Assumed equal contributions of runoff from pervious and impervious surfaces

Objectives

- Based on California conditions, to improve urban landscape description represented in the modeling approach
- To improve the simulation design for better prediction of EEC (estimated environmental concentration) from an urban catchment

USEPA standard residential lot and urban watershed



8672 - lawn
1000 - house
572 - sidewalk
+ 546 - driveway
10,890 sq ft = ¼ acre



58 residential lots (1/4 acre each) in a 10-ha watershed (USEPA, 2007), linked to a USEPA pond (1ha*2m)

SWPP landscape description for California urban areas

- Impervious surface coverage (ISC): USGS landuse images, and Caltrans urban boundaries (for San Francisco-Oakland)
- Residential density: OEHHA urban survey (Sacramento, Irvine, and Santa Cruz)
- House footprint: American Housing Survey 2011 (for San Francisco)
- 3-ft walkway around a building
- 3-ft paved area adjacent to lawns subject to unintended irrigation
- Pre-scheduled lawn irrigation: UCANR lawn watering guide

Landscape description: summary

	USEPA	SWPP
Overall impervious fraction	50%	83%
#lots per 10 ha watershed	58	201.6
Lot size	1/4 acre	1/12 acre
House footprint	1000 ft^2 (house) + 900 ft^2 (garage)	1500 ft^2
Driveway	546 ft^2	546 ft^2
Sidewalk	268 ft^2	135 ft^2
Lawns	9075 ft^2	653 ft^2
Paved walkway round a house	0	501 ft^2

The above data are for residential watershed. Commercial/ industrial and urban right-of-way scenarios are also developed.

Simulation design, USEPA



$$EEC = f_P * EEC_P + f_{IMP} * EEC_{IMP}$$

For residential: $EEC = (EEC_P + EEC_{IMP})/2$

EEC = estimated environmental concentration; f = fractional area, "P" for pervious surface; "IMP" for impervious surface

4 urban surfaces modeled by SWPP



Water	Precipitation only				Precipitation + irrigation			
Permeability	Pervious (*f1*)		Impervious (*f2*)		P (*f3*)		IMP (*f4*)	
Treatment	Treated (*ft1*)	Un-treated	*ft2*		*ft3*		*ft4*	

Simulation design, SWPP



Urban module: summary

Modeling components	USEPA	SWPP
Model	PE5 (integrated PRZM-EXAMS)	PRZM and EXAMS, separate simulations
Modeling scenario	2 surfaces, USEPA tier-2 modeling scenarios for California	4 surfaces, modeling scenarios revised from USEPA
Landscape description	Residential (suburban) Commercial/industrial Rights-of-way	Residential (CA urban) Commercial/industrial (CA) Rights-of-way (USEPA)
Simulation design	Run PRZM-EXAMS for each surface; report the averages of the two EXAMS results	Run PRZM for each surface; route the aggregated fluxes into EXAMS

Computer implementation



References

- SWPP Product Registration Review Process (http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/emon/surfwtr/review.htm)
  - Part I “initial screening” (Luo and Deng, 2012a)
  - Part II “refined modeling” (Luo and Deng, 2012b)
  - Part III “urban module” (Luo, 2014)
- USEPA Effects Determinations for the California Red-legged Frog (www.epa.gov/espp/litstatus/effects/redleg-frog)

Questions?

Yuzhou Luo, Ph.D.
Research Scientist III
EM/SWPP, Department of Pesticide Regulation
yuzhou.luo@cdpr.ca.gov
(916) 445-2090

Supplementary Information

USEPA pesticide registration evaluation, originally designed for ag. uses

"USEPA standard farm pond" (1ha*2m), simulated by EXAMS (Exposure Analysis Modeling System)

PRZM predicts edge-of-field fluxes of water, sediment, and pesticide

EXAMS predicts in-pond EEC (Estimated Environmental Concentration) for risk characterization