

Simulation of Fumigant Transport and Volatilization from Tarped Broadcast Applications

Frank Spurlock^{1*}, Bruce Johnson¹, Atac Tuli¹, Suduan Gao², Jing Tao¹, Fabio Sartori¹, Ruijun Qin², David Sullivan³, Mike Stanghellini⁴ and Husein Ajwa⁵

¹ Department of Pesticide Regulation, California Environmental Protection Agency, Sacramento, CA 95812, USA

² USDA–ARS, Water Management Research Unit, San Joaquin Valley Agricultural Sciences Center, Parlier, CA 93648, USA

³ Sullivan Environmental Consulting, Inc., Alexandria, VA 22308, USA

⁴ TriCal, Inc., Hollister, CA 95023, USA

⁵ Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

* Corresponding Author: 916.324.4124, fcspurlock@cdpr.ca.gov

Accepted for Publication in Vadose Zone Journal May 3, 2013.

doi:10.2136/vzj2013.03.0056

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 We evaluated the ability of the HYDRUS 2D/3D model to simulate chloropicrin and 1,3-

3 dichloropropene fate, transport and volatilization. Three fields with similar soil conditions were

4 broadcast fumigated under a totally impermeable film (TIF). One field was used to calibrate

5 HYDRUS by adjusting fumigant degradation rates, soil sorption coefficients and TIF tarp

6 resistance factors. In comparisons of simulated and measured soil gas concentrations, soil

7 temperature, soil-water contents, and inverse-modeled estimates of fumigant volatilization flux,

8 the model accurately simulated the basic individual processes of fumigant partitioning and
9 degradation, heat transport, and soil-water dynamics in the calibration field. Subsequent flux
10 simulations of the remaining two fields were performed using only measured, independently
11 estimated or calibrated inputs with no further adjustments. The magnitudes of simulated
12 cumulative fluxes, and both pre- and post-tarpcut discrete flux densities were within the
13 estimated range of uncertainty (factor of ~ 2) of conventional inverse-modeled field-based flux
14 estimates. However, the timing of maximum discrete flux densities was delayed by 1 – 2 days
15 relative to inverse-modeled estimates. While HYDRUS provided reasonably accurate flux
16 estimates, it was also evident that parameterization – particularly for TIF tarp permeability
17 properties - generally requires field-based calibration due to a lack of representative field
18 effective permeability data.