Paul Helliker

Director

# Department of Pesticide Regulation

MEMORANDUM

Gray Davis Governor Winston H. Hickox Secretary, California Environmental

		Protection Agency
TO:	Bob Rollins Agricultural Program Supervisor III Environmental Monitoring Branch	
FROM:	Don J. Weaver, Ph.D. Supervising Senior Environmental Research Scientist (916) 324-4132	
	Craig Nordmark Associate Environmental Research Scientist (916) 324-4138	
DATE:	March 12, 2003	
SUBJECT:	SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2002/03 GROUND V PROTECTION LIST MONITORING FOR HEXAZINONE AND THR ITS DEGRADATES	

### SUMMARY

Hexazinone, including its degradation products, was chosen for monitoring from active ingredients on the Ground Water Protection List. Forty wells were sampled in eight counties during October 2002. Residues of hexazinone were detected in two wells in San Joaquin County. Three degradates of hexazinone were analyzed for but they were not detected. Nine wells contained residues of one or more other herbicides or herbicide degradates.

# BACKGROUND

Sixty-two pesticide active ingredients (AIs) are currently on the Ground Water Protection List (Title 3, California Code of Regulations section 6800[b]), which is a list of AIs that have the potential to pollute ground water through normal agricultural use. From 1992 through 2002, a total of 23 AIs (1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)(9)(10) were monitored with 40 or more wells sampled for each. A revised monitoring protocol, approved in 1997 (11), is used to select AIs for monitoring based on information about their physico-chemical characteristics, cultural practices for crops on which they are applied, detections in ground water, and any other pertinent information.

The herbicide hexazinone, along with the degradates 2-hydroxycyclohexyl hexazinone, monomethyl hexazinone, and decyclohexyl-4-hydroxy hexazinone, was selected for monitoring during FY 2002/03.



### **METHODS**

Wells were sampled during October 2002. Areas to be surveyed for sampling were selected based on Pesticide Use Report information for 1994-2000. Counties were listed in descending order for use of hexazinone, and the eight counties with the greatest use (pounds applied) were selected. Sections were chosen within each county where the greatest quantities of the pesticide had been applied. Areas containing clusters of high use sections were considered first. Those sections that had shallow depth to ground water were targeted as primary locations for monitoring. Sampling crews drove through pre-selected sections of land in each county with the goal of sampling one well per section. If no useable wells were found in a targeted section, attempts were made to locate a well in an adjacent section. For each well sampled, one primary sample, two backup samples and one field blank sample were collected.

The California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) Laboratory performed analyses using a single analytical screen. Each well water sample was tested for hexazinone, 2-hydroxycyclohexyl hexazinone, monomethyl hexazinone, decyclohexyl-4-hydroxy hexazinone, atrazine, deethylatrazine (DEA), simazine, deisopropylatrazine (ACET), didealkylated triazine (DACT), prometon, bromacil, norflurazon, and diuron each with a reporting limit of 0.05 parts per billion.

Use of hexazinone was documented from Pesticide Use Reports for 1994-2000. The total number of pounds applied was determined for each section in which a well was sampled and also for the eight adjoining sections surrounding the monitored section. Land use characteristics were also determined for each section of land in which a well was sampled. The percentage of each land use type was determined based on 1994-1998 Department of Water Resources maps.

# RESULTS

A total of 40 wells were sampled in eight counties and hexazinone was found in two wells in San Joaquin County (Table 1). No residues of any of the three hexazinone degradates were detected. Several wells contained residues of other herbicides including two wells in Glenn County, one in Madera County, one in Merced County, three in San Joaquin County, and two in Solano County. No herbicide residues were detected in any of the wells in Fresno County, Stanislaus County or Yolo County. Atrazine was found in 4 wells, simazine in 2, and diuron in 2 wells. Degradates of atrazine and simazine were also detected: DEA was detected in 5 wells, ACET was found in 4 wells and DACT in 3 wells.

The analytical method used by the CDFA laboratory is unequivocal for the 13 compounds included in the analytical screen; thus, no verification of those results is necessary.

Hexazinone use data and land use characteristics are presented by county in Tables 2-9. Each table contains the total number of pounds of hexazinone, atrazine, simazine and diuron applied during the years 1994-2000 for the section in which a well was sampled (in section) and also total use for that section plus the eight adjoining sections (9-section). Hexazinone was applied in both of the sections in San Joaquin County where its residue was detected.

# DISCUSSION

In 1996, hexazinone was detected in wells in two adjacent sections monitored in San Joaquin County (12). These detections made in close proximity to each other satisfied one of the requirements for determining that the contamination resulted from legal agricultural use. Expanded monitoring was then conducted in the same area (13) and although no additional detections were made, hexazinone was entered into the AB2021 detection response process. A field investigation was conducted for possible point sources for the residues in ground water. During that investigation, agricultural drains and water collection pits located near the contaminated wells were examined as possible sources of hexazinone residues (14). No evidence was uncovered that the pits served as a point source for contamination of ground water. Although it was then concluded that the hexazinone detections in San Joaquin County were the result of legal agricultural use (15), a determination was made that the two detections should be considered transient and did not meet the criteria of being due to legal agricultural use (16).

Since 1996, the Environmental Hazards Assessment Program has detected hexazinone in several wells in six different counties, all located in the San Joaquin Valley or southern Sacramento Valley. The most recent detection was made in Solano County in April 2002. The presence of low concentrations of hexazinone in certain areas of California suggested that degradates of that pesticide might also be present in those areas or even in other areas of the state. This was found to be true for the triazine herbicides atrazine and simazine after the triazine degradates, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine and didealkylated triazine were included in the analytical screen for ground water samples. One or more of these triazine degradates were found in numerous wells throughout the state. In contrast, hexazinone degradates were not detected in this study, even in wells where the parent was detected.

Two wells in San Joaquin County that contained hexazinone residues, were re-sampled during the current study. Residues were found in only one of the two wells. Furthermore, two wells that are part of a monitoring network in Fresno County, were found to contain hexazinone residues in March 2000 and 2001, respectively. When the wells were re-sampled up to 1 year later, no hexazinone residues were detected. Those results provide further evidence of the transient nature of some hexazinone contamination.

#### **REFERENCES CITED**

- 1. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. July 15, 1992. Memorandum to K. Goh: Summary of results for FY 1991-92 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 2. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. August 23, 1993. Memorandum to J. S. Sanders: Summary of results for FY 1992-93 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 3. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. August 19, 1994. Memorandum to K. S. Goh: Summary of results for FY 1993-94 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 4. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. June 30, 1995. Memorandum to K. S. Goh: Summary of results for FY 1994-95 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 5. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. August 21, 1996. Memorandum to K. S. Goh: Summary of results for FY 1995-96 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 6. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. June 30, 1997. Memorandum to K. S. Goh: Summary of results for FY 1996-97 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 7. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. June 30, 1998. Memorandum to K. S. Goh: Summary of results for FY 1997-98 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 8. Weaver, D. and J. Marade. March 19, 1999. Memorandum to K. S. Goh: Summary of results for FY 1998-99 ground water protection list monitoring.
- 9. Weaver, D. and C. Nordmark. May 6, 2002. Memorandum to Bob Rollins: Summary of results for FY 2000-2001 ground water protection list monitoring for alachlor, metolachlor and two degradates of each.
- 10. Weaver, D. and C. Nordmark. June 30, 2002. Memorandum to Bob Rollins: Summary of results for FY 2000-2001 ground water protection list monitoring for fenamiphos, fenamiphos sulfoxide, and fenamiphos sulfone.
- 11. Weaver, D. April 8, 1997. Revised protocol for selecting ground water protection list active ingredients to be monitored under certain agricultural conditions.
- 12. Weaver, Don J. February 20, 1997. Memorandum to John S. Sanders: Completion of four-section survey for atrazine and bromacil detections in San Joaquin County (02S/05E-23) and determination whether residues in the original positive well resulted from legal agricultural use.

- 13. Weaver, Don J., J. Marade and C. Nordmark. March 3, 1997. Results of expanded monitoring for hexazinone in San Joaquin County.
- 14. Marade, J. and C. Nordmark. March 11, 1997. Memorandum to Don Weaver: Examination of agricultural field pits in San Joaquin County where hexazinone residues were found in well water.
- 15. Sanders, John S. March 28, 1997. Memorandum to Paul Gosselin: Agricultural use determination for hexazinone residues in ground water.
- 16. Gosselin, Paul H. August 1, 1997. Memorandum to John S. Sanders: Agricultural use determination for hexazinone residues in ground water.

#### Attachments

bcc: Weaver Surname File

		Concentration, parts per billion						
County	Township/Range- Section	Hexazinone	Atrazine	Simazine	DEA	ACET	DACT	Diuron
Fresno	14S/16E-03	ND <sup>b</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	14S/16E-08	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	14S/16E-09	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	15S/19E-03	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Glenn	21N/02W-02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	21N/02W-03	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	21N/02W-14	ND	0.052	ND	0.076	ND	ND	ND
	21N/02W-23	ND	0.055	ND	0.063	ND	ND	ND
Madera	13S/16E-07	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.174	0.265	ND
Merced	08S/14E-09	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	08S/14E-15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	08S/14E-34	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.06
	09S/09E-08	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09S/14E-10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	10S/10E-03	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
San Joaquin	01N/06E-29	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	01N/08E-13	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	04N/05E-27	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	01S/06E-31	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	01S/07E-24	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.053	ND	0.075
	02S/04E-10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	02S/04E-22	0.096	ND	0.05	0.079	ND	ND	ND
	02S/04E-25	ND	ND	0.098	ND	0.05	0.149	ND

Table 1. Detections of herbicides in wells sampled for hexazinone and three degradates during 2002-2003 Ground Water Protection List Monitoring. Only data for herbicides that were detected are presented <sup>a</sup>.

Table 1.	Continued.
14010 1.	Commuou.

		Concentration, parts per billion						
County	Township/Range- Section	Hexazinone	Atrazine	Simazine	DEA	ACET	DACT	Diuron
San								
Joaquin	02S/05E-12	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	02S/05E-23	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	02S/05E-24	0.05	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Solano	06N/01E-13	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	06N/01E-22	ND	0.365	ND	0.07	ND	ND	ND
	06N/01E-23	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	07N/01E-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	07N/01E-32	ND	0.174	ND	0.306	0.06	0.05	ND
	07N/02E-19	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Stanislaus	03S/07E-11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	04S/07E-22	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Yolo	09N/01E-26	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09N/02E-22	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09N/02E-28	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09N/02E-34	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	10N/01W-27	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	10N/01W-36	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

<sup>a</sup> All samples were analyzed by the CDFA laboratory for hexazinone, 2-hydroxycyclohexyl hexazinone, monomethyl hexazinone, decyclohexyl-4-hydroxy hexazinone, atrazine, simazine, deethylatrazine (DEA), deisopropylatrazine (ACET), didealkylated triazine (DACT), prometon, bromacil, norflurazon and diuron.

<sup>b</sup> ND = none detected at the reporting limit (RL) of 0.05 parts per billion for all chemicals. The RL is the smallest amount that can be reliably detected in a laboratory test and is set by the testing laboratory for each chemical.

Contact <u>GWPP@cdpr.ca.gov</u> for tables that have been removed and references not currently available on the web. Tables that have been removed are listed below.

Table 2. Fresno County-Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.

Table 3. Glenn County-Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.

Table 4. Madera County-Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.

Table 5. Merced County -Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.

Table 6. San Joaquin County-Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.

Table 7. Solano County-Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.

Table 8. Stanislaus County-Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.

Table 9. Yolo County-Use of hexazinone and selected herbicides and land use characteristics for sections of land in which wells were sampled for 2002 Ground Water Protection List monitoring.