ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

| | ECONOMIC IMITA | CISIAIEMENI | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| DEPARTMENT NAME Department of Pesticide Regulation | CONTACT PERSON Emily Edgerton | EMAIL ADDRESS Emily.Edgerton@cdpr.ca.gov | TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-603-7735 |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Civil Penalty Actions by Commissioners | | | NOTICE FILE NUMBER |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP | ACTS Include calculations and | l assumptions in the rulemaking record. | |
| Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate | | <u> </u> | |
| a. Impacts business and/or employees | | orting requirements | |
| b. Impacts small businesses | f. Imposes presc | riptive instead of performance | |
| c. Impacts jobs or occupations | g. Impacts indiv | viduals | |
| d. Impacts California competitiveness | 🗶 h. None of the a | above (Explain below): | |
| | the state of the s | ed action will conform the regulatory req | |
| If any hay in Itams 1 | | tute and will not impact lawfully operation in place this Economic Impact Statement. | ig business in the state |
| | | scal Impact Statement as appropriate. | |
| | | | |
| 2. The(Agency/Department) | estimates that the ec | onomic impact of this regulation (which includes the | fiscal impact) is: |
| Below \$10 million | | | |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million | | | |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million | | | |
| | t is over \$50 million, agencies are i | required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Ass</u> | sessment |
| | nt Code Section 11346.3(c)] | | |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: | | | |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr | ofits): | | |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: | | | |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea | ated: | eliminated: | |
| Explain: | | | |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: | Statewide | | |
| | Local or regional (List areas): | | |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created: | | | |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact | cted: | | |
| 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc | | YES NO | |
| If YES, explain briefly: | | | |
| -, | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| В. | ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions | in the rulemaking record. | |
|----|---|--|---|
| 1. | . What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and i | individuals may incur to comply with this regula | tion over its lifetime? \$ |
| | a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ | Annual ongoing costs: \$ | Years: |
| | b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ | Annual ongoing costs: \$ | Years: |
| | c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ | Annual ongoing costs: \$ | Years: |
| | d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: | | |
| 2. | . If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total co | sts for each industry: | |
| 3. | If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the ar Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, repor | | |
| 4. | Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? | □ NO | |
| | | nter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$_ | |
| | | Number of units: | |
| 5. | Are there comparable Federal regulations? | □ NO | |
| | Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or ab | sence of Federal regulations: | |
| | | | |
| | Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that | may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ | |
| 2. | ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of be | enefits is not specifically required by rulemaking | law, but encouraged. |
| 1. | . Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may in health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and t | | |
| 2. | Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements. | _ | ased on broad statutory authority? |
| 3. | . What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over | rits lifetime? \$ | |
| 4. | . Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing b | usiness within the State of California that would | d result from this regulation: |
| | | | |
| D. | . ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged. | ions and assumptions in the rulemaking record. | Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not |
| 1. | . List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alt | ternatives were considered, explain why not: | |
| | | | |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| | | Economic Initia | er stiffenter (eg. | (12(022) | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2. Summarize | the total statewide costs a | nd benefits from this regulation | and each alternative considered: | | |
| Regulation | n: Benefit: \$ | Cost: \$ | | | |
| Alternative | e 1: Benefit: \$ | Cost: \$ | | | |
| Alternative | e 2: Benefit: \$ | Cost: \$ | | | |
| • | , , | s that are relevant to a comparis this regulation or alternatives | | | |
| regulation | mandates the use of spe procedures. Were perform | consider performance standar cific technologies or equipmer nance standards considered to | nt, or prescribes specific lower compliance costs? | ES NO | |
| | | | | | |
| E. MAJOR I | REGULATIONS Include | calculations and assumptions in | the rulemaking record. | | |
| | | | ry (Cal/EPA) boards, offices a nd Safety Code section 57005). | nd departments are required to . Otherwise, skip to E4. | |
| 1. Will the est | | , J | prises exceed \$10 million ? | • | |
| | | | S, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4 | _ | |
| 2. Briefly desc | ribe each alternative, or c | | which a cost-effectiveness analysis | was performed: | |
| Alternativ | e 1: | _ | | | |
| Alternative | 2: | | | | |
| (Attach add | litional pages for other alter | natives) | | | |
| 3. For the reg | ulation, and each alternati | ve just described, enter the est | imated total cost and overall cost-e | effectiveness ratio: | |
| _ | n: Total Cost \$ | - | effectiveness ratio: \$ | | |
| Alternative | e 1: Total Cost \$ | Cost- | effectiveness ratio: \$ | | |
| Alternative | 2: Total Cost \$ | Cost- | effectiveness ratio: \$ | | |
| exceeding | \$50 million in any 12-mon | | | individuals located in or doing business in California be filed with the Secretary of State through12 mor | |
| YES | YES NO | | | | |
| | | n <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u>) and to include the SRIA in the In | | | |
| 5. Briefly desc | ribe the following: | | | | |
| The increas | se or decrease of investme | nt in the State: | | | |
| The incenti | ive for innovation in produ | cts, materials or processes: | | | |
| | | | s to the health, safety, and welfare ife, among any other benefits ident | | |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| | ECT ON LOCAL GOVERNA and two subsequent Fiscal Ye | | xes I through 6 and attach calculati | ons and assumptions of fiscal impact for the |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | nbursable by the State. (Approximated Sections 17500 et seq. of the Gove | |
| \$ | | | | |
| a. Fun | ding provided in | | | |
| | | | , Statutes of | |
| b. Fun | ding will be requested in the Go | overnor's Budget Act of | | |
| | | Fiscal Year: | | |
| | | | OT reimbursable by the State. (App d Sections 17500 et seg. of the Gove | |
| \$ | | | · | · |
| | on(s) this regulation is not reimbu | | iate information: | |
| a. Imp | ements the Federal mandate co | ontained in | | |
| b. Imp | ements the court mandate set | forth by the | | Court. |
| | Case of: | | vs | |
| c. Imp | ements a mandate of the peopl | e of this State expressed in th | eir approval of Proposition No. | |
| | Date of Election: | | | |
| d. Issu | ed only in response to a specific | request from affected local en | ntity(s). | |
| | Local entity(s) affected: | | | |
| | _ | | | |
| e. Will | be fully financed from the fees, | revenue, etc. from: | | |
| | Authorized by Section: | | of the | Code; |
| f. Prov | ides for savings to each affected | d unit of local government whi | ch will, at a minimum, offset any a | dditional costs to each; |
| g. Cre | ites, eliminates, or changes the | penalty for a new crime or inf | raction contained in | |
| 3. Annual S | avings. (approximate) | | | |
| \$ | | | | |
| | | | substantive or clarifying changes to | current law regulations. |
| 5. No fiscal | impact exists. This regulation do | es not affect any local entity or | program. | |
| x 6. Other. E | Fiscal impacts will | depend on if there is a | a change in number of viola | ations, which is unknown. |
| | | | | |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes I through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | sumptions of fiscal impact for the current |
|---|--|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will: | |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources. | |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program. | |
| 4. Other. Explain Fiscal impacts will depend on if there is a change in number of violations, | which is unknown. |
| 4. Other. Explain | |
| | |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes I through 4 and a impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | ttach calculations and assumptions of fiscal |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program. | |
| 4. Other. Explain | |
| | |
| | |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE | DATE |
| Originally digitally signed by Leslie C. Ford | 5-8-2023 |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections in the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary | |
| highest ranking official in the organization. AGENCY SECRETARY | DATE |
| | |
| Originally digitally signed by Eric Jarvis | 9-22-2023 |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Important of Finance process with the process with the section of Fiscal Important of Finance process. | <u></u> |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER | DATE |
| | |