1. . . . . . STATE OF CALIFORNIA --- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

.

\_

......

## **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
Pesticide Regulation	Ann Hanger	916-324-3535				
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	NOTICE FILE NUMBER					
Copper-Based Antifouling Paint and Co	Z					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and as	sumptions in the rulemaking record.				
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:					
🔀 a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes report	ng requirements				
🗙 b. Impacts small businesses 👘 f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance						
C. Impacts jobs or occupations						
d. Impacts California competitiveness h. None of the above (Explain below):						
	<b></b>					
		lete this Economic Impact Statement. Il Impact Statement as appropriate.				
Department of Pesticide Regul	ation	· · ·				
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the econ	omic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:			
X Below \$10 million						
Between \$10 and \$25 million						
Between \$25 and \$50 million						
	s over \$50 million, agencies are reat	lired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u>	t Accessment			
as specified in Governme	nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]		<u>nojesiment</u>			
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	10					
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Pesticide registrants	(manufacturers) of copper-based an	tifouling paints			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses: businesses impacted that are small businesses:	30%					
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	eli	minated: 0				
Explain: Establishes maximum allowal	ble copper leach rate for co	opper antifouling paints on recreation	onal vessels.			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: $\overline{X}$	Statewide					
	Local or regional (List areas);					
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated; U					
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: N/A					
<ol><li>Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce</li></ol>	businesses to compete with e goods or services here?					
		YES X NO				
If YES, explain briefly:						
	· ·					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····			
			<b></b>			
			PAGE 1			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)
STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

.

## ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumption	tions in the rulemaking record.	
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses	and individuals may incur to comply with this regula	ntion over its lifetime? \$ 3,000
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$25	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$25		
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0		
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: The		
	·	·
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of tot	al costs for each industry: N/A	
<ol> <li>If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping,</li> </ol>		
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	ES 🔀 NO	
IF YES	5, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: $\$	
	Number of units:	·
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	S 🗙 NO	
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence of	or absence of Federal regulations: State regulati	on is needed to help comply with
federal water quality regulations for Californ	ia. Ultimate goal is to protect aquatic or	ganisms in California marinas.
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individual	s that may be due to State - Federal differences; \$ $\underbrace{0}_{-}$	
<b>C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS</b> Estimation of the dollar value of	of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking	law, but encouraged.
<ol> <li>Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which m health and welfare of California residents, worker safety a</li> </ol>	ay include among others, the and the State's environment: <u>Reduce pollution</u>	in marine environments and
protect aquatic organisms from adverse effe	ects resulting from dissolved copper con	centrations in marinas across the state
that exceed state water quality standards.		
2. Are the benefits the result of: $\overline{X}$ specific statutory requi	rements, or 📋 goals developed by the agency ba	sed on broad statutory authority?
Explain: Food and Agricultural Code 12824		
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation	over its lifetime? \$ benefits not quantified	
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently do	ing business within the State of California that would	result from this regulation: <u>None expected</u>
as a direct result from this regulation.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calcul specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged		stimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If n	no alternatives were considered, explain why not: $(1)$	) Do not promulgate regulation
(2) Establish two leach rate limits; determine	ed to be unenforceable (3) Other options	outside the purview of DPR

.

æ . ^

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE			
ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT			
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)			

## STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013) ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED) 2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered; Benefit: \$ not quantified Cost: \$ 3,000 (2016 \$) **Regulation:** Benefit: \$ not quantified Cost: \$ not quantified Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ not quantified Cost: \$ not quantified Alternative 2: 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: none 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? X YES NO Explain: Applies only to products labeled to allow use on recreational vessels. Data required for all new copper-based antifouling paint products. E. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4. 1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? X NO If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4 2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio; Regulation: Total Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$\_\_\_\_\_ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$\_\_\_\_\_ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES X NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the initial Statement of Reasons. 5. Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:

PAGE 3

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA --- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	<b>ISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT</b> Ind rrent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	licate appropriate boxes	1 through 6 and att	ach calculations and assump		t for the
	I. Additional expenditures in the current State Fi (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Ca					
	\$					
	a. Funding provided in					
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statut	tes of		
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governo	r's Budget Act of				
		Fiscal Year:				
	<ol> <li>Additional expenditures in the current State Finder Particle XIII B of the Call</li> <li>Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Call</li> <li>S</li> </ol>					
	\$Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable	and provide the approprio	te information:	· ·		
	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained			·		
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth b	y the			Court.	
	Case of:		·	Vs		
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of th					
	Date of Election:					
	d. Issued only in response to a specific reque					
	Local entity(s) affected:		<u> </u>			
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenu	ue, etc. from:				
	Authorized by Section:		of the		Code;	
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of	of local government whic	th will, at a minimum	n, offset any additional costs t	o each;	
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalt	y for a new crime or infra	ction contained in			. <u> </u>
	. Annual Savings. (approximate)					
	5					
[] '	. No additional costs or savings. This regulation m	akes only technical, non-s	ubstantive or clarifyir	ng changes to current law regu	lations.	
$\mathbf{X}$	. No fiscal impact exists, This regulation does not	affect any local entity or p	rogram.			
	. Other. Explain					

۰. د

. .

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD 399 (REV. 12/2013)

# FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ind assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
X 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ 3,000	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
X a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
X 4. Other. Explain Increased revenues of \$3,000 (\$25 per amendment x 120)	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and	d attach calculation and the state of the
Impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	a actuact calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
a the say have	4-10-17
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Sentighest ranking official in the organization.	sections 6601-6616, and understands cretary must have the form signed by the
	DATE
a mande	5/10/17
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fisc	al Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
a Kallerte	>/22/17-