ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Pesticide Regulation	Anna Bellini	Anna.Bellini@cdpr.ca.gov	916-445-3270		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER			NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
Expanding Carbaryl Designation as a Restricted Material					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	TS Include calculations and assum	nptions in the rulemaking record.			
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	whether this regulation:				
 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation: 					
b. Impacts small businesses					
d. Impacts California competitiveness					
d. Impacts callorna competitiveness	II. Notice of the above	(Explain below).			
If any box in Items 1 a	through g is checked, complete	e this Economic Impact Statement.			
If box in Item 1.h. is	checked, complete the Fiscal I	mpact Statement as appropriate.			
Department of Pesticide Regula					
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the econom	ic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:		
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
	over \$50 million, agencies are require nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	d to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impa</u>	<u>ct Assessment</u>		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	5				
or creative total number of pushesses impacted.					
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr	ofits): Pesticide registrants (m	anufacturers) selling carbaryl for	homeowneruse		
			The state of the s		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	20%				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ted: 0 elimi	nated: 0			
_					
Explain: This proposal will not create o	r eliminate any businesses b	pecause none of the businesses d	eal only with carbaryl.		
_					
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide				
	Local or regional (List areas):				
10.20					
6. Enter the number of jobs created: $1.9 - 3.9$	and eliminated: 0				
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac	ted. The replacement of carl	paryl products by alternative prod	ducts might increase		
		S = 4/a			
the gross income and the number of	employees of the businesse	es currently selling carbaryl for no	meowner uses.		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California	husinesses to compete with				
other states by making it more costly to produce		YES 🔀 NO			
S AMPRIMISES CONT. VIET N. 1944		· ·			
If YES, explain briefly:	N CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR				
			2.312		

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulen	making record.			
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals	may incur to comply with this regulation over	its lifetime? \$ 4,373,590		
		nnual ongoing costs: \$ 0			
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0 Ar				
		nnual ongoing costs: \$ 0.20			
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: If alternative pro	oducts become more expensive, it's	expected that there may be		
	a decrease in household income as homeowners may ha	ave to pay more to cover the higher	cost of alternative products.		
_		Section 2			
2.	2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each	Tindustry: 1974			
3.	3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and o	a typical business may incur to comply with thother paperwork, whether or not the paperwork n	nese requirements. Sometiments of the submitted of the su		
4.	4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES X NO				
	If YES, enter the annu	ual dollar cost per housing unit: \$			
		Number of units:	1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -		
5.	5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES X NO)			
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Fe				
	Required by Food and Agricultural Code sections 14004	1.5 and 14005			
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be d	due to State - Federal differences: \$ 0			
c.	C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not	t specifically required by rulemaking law, but e	ncouraged.		
1	. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: Expanding the restricted material designation will				
	provide an effective mechanism for protecting the heal	th and welfare of California resident	s and prevent possible		
	unintended exposure to the environment and public.				
2.	2. Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, or	goals developed by the agency based on br	oad statutory authority?		
	Explain: Food and Agricultural Code sections 14004.5 and	14005			
3	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetim				
4	4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business wi	ithin the State of California that would result fro	om this regulation: The		
	replacement of carbaryl products with alternative produ	ucts would benefit the gross income	e of the businesses currently		
	selling carbaryl products for homeowner uses.				
D	D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and ass specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation	n of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1	1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives v	were considered, explain why not: Do not p	romulgate regulation		

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this re	gulation and each alternative considered:
Regulation: Benefit: \$0 - 524,326 Cost: \$	0 - 874,718
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ not quantified Cost: \$	0
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$	
Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a confestimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternative.	omparison None.
4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or eq actions or procedures. Were performance standards conside Explain: The use of carbaryl is voluntary. There are	uipment, or prescribes specific ered to lower compliance costs? YES X NO
E. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumpt	ions in the sulameding vacand
	Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to
	Agency (CavEFA) boards, offices and departments are required to alth and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.
1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business	ess enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES X NO
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4
2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternative	es, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
Alternative 1:	
Alternative 2:	
(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)	
3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter	the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio
Regulation: Total Cost \$	
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$	
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$	
4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implement YES NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulator	conomic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months ed?
Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in	
5. Briefly describe the following:	None
The increase or decrease of investment in the State:	None.
The incentive for innovation in products, materials or proces	lmprove methods to detect pest populations, selecting best pest
controls and methods, etc.	
The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and qu	benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California ality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: See C. 1.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

\$	
a. Funding provided in	
Budget Act of or Chapter, Statutes of	· •
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of	
Fiscal Year:	
 Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code 	e).
\$	
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	Court.
Case of:vs.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:	
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:	• :
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:	
Authorized by Section: of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional cost	ts to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in	·
22. Annual Sudana (conveylents)	
3. Annual Savings, (approximate)	
\$	
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law re	egulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
] 6. Other. Explain	
	4,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculation year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	, •
c ·	
\$ It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through	4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
>a Lefter	5-10-19
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in S	AM sections 6601-6616, and understands
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency highest ranking official in the organization.	Secretary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
a Logue	7-9-19
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of I	Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
Z.	