ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

Department of Pesticide Regulation	CONTACT PERSON Jolynn Mahmoudi-Haeri	EMAIL ADDRESS minimumrisk@cdpr.ca.gov	916-324-3545			
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER			
Addition of Chitosan to List of Active Ing	gredients Allowed in Exem	oted Minimum Risk Pesticides	Z			
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP	ACTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.				
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e whether this regulation:					
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes repor	ting requirements				
b. Impacts small businesses f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance						
c. Impacts jobs or occupations						
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the ab	oove (Explain below):				
	See attachm					
	-	plete this Economic Impact Statement. cal Impact Statement as appropriate.				
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the eco	nomic impact of this regulation (which includes th	e fiscal impact) is:			
Below \$10 million						
Between \$10 and \$25 million						
Between \$25 and \$50 million						
	t is over \$50 million, agencies are re	equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u>	Assessment			
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]					
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:						
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr	rofits):					
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:						
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	atod:	eliminated:				
4. Litter the number of businesses that will be trea	ateu e	diffinated				
Explain:						
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide					
	_					
L	_ Local of regional (List areas)					
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:					
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac	cted:					
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of Californion other states by making it more costly to produce		YES NO				
If YES, explain briefly:						

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the ru	llemaking record.				
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individual	ls may incur to comply with this regulation over its	lifetime? \$			
		Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:			
		Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:			
		Annual ongoing costs: \$				
	d Describe other economic costs that may occur:					
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for ea	ach industry:				
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.					
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES	NO				
	If YES, enter the a	annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$				
	Number of units:					
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES I	NO				
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:					
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be	due to State - Federal differences: \$				
C.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is	not specifically required by rulemaking law, but end	ouraged.			
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include am health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State					
2.	Are the benefits the result of:	goals developed by the agency based on broad	d statutory authority?			
	Explain:					
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifeti	me? \$				
4.	4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation:					
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of	the dollar value of benefits is not			
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives	s were considered, explain why not:				

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

			ECONOMIC IN	THE STATEMENT	(COI)II	(CLD)	
2.	Summarize the to	otal statewide cost	s and benefits from this reg	gulation and each alternative cor	nsidered:		
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$				
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$				
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$				
3.			ues that are relevant to a co for this regulation or alteri				
4.	regulation man	dates the use of s	pecific technologies or equ	standards as an alternative, if a uipment, or prescribes specific ered to lower compliance costs	c	□ NO	
	Explain:						
Ε.	MAJOR REGI	ULATIONS Includ	le calculations and assumpti	ions in the rulemaking record.			
		•		Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, alth and Safety Code section		-	-
1.	Will the estimate	ed costs of this regu	ulation to California busines	s enterprises exceed \$10 millio	n? YES	☐ NO	
				If YES, complete E2. and E If NO, skip to E4	3		
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative, o	r combination of alternative	es, for which a cost-effectiveness	s analysis was p	erformed:	
	Alternative 1:_						
	Alternative 2: _						
	(Attach addition	al pages for other al	ternatives)				
3.	For the regulation	on, and each altern	ative just described, enter t	the estimated total cost and ove	rall cost-effecti	veness ratio:	
	Regulation: To	otal Cost \$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
	Alternative 1: T	Fotal Cost \$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
	Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
4.	exceeding \$50 r	million in any 12-m					or doing business in California etary of State through12 months
		NO					
				<mark>mpact Assessment (SRIA)</mark> as specif n the Initial Statement of Reasons			
5.	Briefly describe	the following:					
	The increase or	decrease of investr	ment in the State:				
	The incentive fo	or innovation in pro	ducts, materials or processe	es:			
	The benefits of	the regulations inc	cluding, but not limited to	benefits to the health, safety, ar	nd welfare of C	alifornia	
				lity of life, among any other bene			

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Additional expenditures in the current Stat (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of th			nent Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of		, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Gov	ernor's Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current Sta (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of th			
\$		ctions 17300 et seq. of the dovernin	ent code).
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimburso		information:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate con	tained in		
b. Implements the court mandate set for	th by the		Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people			
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific re			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, re	venue, etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected u	ınit of local government which w	vill, at a minimum, offset any addit	ional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the pe	enalty for a new crime or infract	ion contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
•			
\$4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation		stantivo or clarifying changes to curr	ont law rogulations
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does			ent taw regulations.
		iram	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes I through 4 and attach calculations and assequent wo subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain See attachment.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes I through 4 and an impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ttach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
SIEGONK	4-9-2024
the signature attests that thagency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM section he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretaringhest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
	1/17/2025
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Imp	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE

Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement Attachment to Std. 399

Addition of Chitosan to List of Active Ingredients Allowed in Exempted Minimum Risk Pesticides

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS

A.1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:

h. None of the above

DPR has determined that the proposed regulatory action will not result in any increased costs. Exempting pesticides that contain chitosan from the requirements of FAC Division 7 means that manufacturers, importers, and dealers of such products will no longer need to obtain a certificate of registration from DPR before selling the products for use in California, which will result in a savings in registration and renewal fees. In addition, such products will no longer be subject to other requirements of FAC Division 7, such as the payment of mill assessment on sales of the products.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

B. Fiscal Effect on State Government

4. Other.

DPR has determined that the proposed regulatory action will have a negligible impact in net loss of income from registration and renewal fees, and mill assessment on sales of registered products. Currently, there is only one registered product containing chitosan that would be exempt from registration under the proposed regulatory action. The annual renewal fee for currently registered products is \$2,300. The estimated net income loss from renewal fees and mill assessment would be around \$2,300 in the current year and \$2,300 in each subsequent Fiscal Year. The expected impact on DPR's overall mill assessment fee revenue will be negligible.